| 1 | | |
|---|---|----|
| 2 | | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | | |
| 6 | | |
| 7 | | |
| 8 | IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | |
| 9 | FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | |
| 10 | | |
| 11 | FRANK FAHY, No. C-09-01420-MMC (DMR) | |
| 12 | Plaintiff, ORDER RE PLAINTIFF'S MOTION T COMPEL (DOCKET NO. 115) | O |
| 13 | V. | |
| 14 | ORPHEOS TARBOX, et al., | |
| 15 | Defendants. | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | A further settlement conference in this case has been set before Judge James on September | r |
| 18 | 27, 2010 (Docket No. 123). In light of the continuing settlement proceedings, Plaintiff's Motion | to |
| 19 | Compel Responses to Interrogatories and to Provide Initial Disclosures (Docket No. 115) is hereby | эу |
| 20 | stricken, and the associated orders (Docket Nos. 121 and 122) are vacated. | |
| 21 | The parties are directed to inform the Court of the outcome of the September 27, 2010 | |
| 22 | settlement conference by letter brief, filed and served on all parties no later than October 11, 2010 | 0. |
| 23 | Future discovery motions may not be filed without permission of Judge Ryu. | |
| 24 | IT IS SO ORDERED. | |
| 25 | | |
| IN THE UNITE IN THE UNITE IN THE UNITE FOR THE NORTHI FRANK FAHY, Plaintiff, v. ORPHEOS TARBOX, et al., Defendants. A further settlement conference in a 27, 2010 (Docket No. 123). In light of the Compel Responses to Interrogatories and t stricken, and the associated orders (Docket The parties are directed to inform the settlement conference by letter brief, filed Future discovery motions may not be filed IT IS SO ORDERED. | Dated: July 23, 2010 | |
| 27 | DONNA M. RYU | |
| 28 | United States Magistrate Judge | |